

Discussion Questions for Toolkit I (April 16)

What do each of these different approaches imply about what's wrong with the Court? And what a "good" court would look like?

How should we understand each reform's implications for the balance of power between the Court and other institutions of government?

If you were leading an advocacy group about Court reform, which proposal would you choose over others to promote, and why?

Are court reforms substitutes or complements? Which of these reforms can work with others? Which reforms might help lead to further reforms?

What are the dynamic effects of each of these court reforms? That is, if the reform were adopted, how would political actors - political parties, judges, presidents, members of Congress, regulated entities - change their behavior in response? Does anticipating those reactions change your view of the reform?