

1. What makes you the most upset about what the Supreme Court is doing now? Which reforms connect most straightforwardly to that frustration?
2. Do some reforms seem more “realistic” or “feasible” than others? What sorts of considerations do you weigh in making that assessment?
3. Related to the previous question, are you more drawn to across-the-board reforms (e.g., a supermajority requirement for declaring acts of Congress invalid) or more targeted reforms (e.g., insulating the Women’s Health Protection Act specifically from invalidation)? Why?
4. How does your attitude toward Congress or the President inform your reasoning about different reforms? Would reforms to either of those institutions affect your thinking about how to approach judicial reform?
5. Do you feel more confident in your ability to successfully pressure elected officials as opposed to judges?